Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/619,778	WOLFF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Guzo	1636
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>new case</u>		
(1) <u>David Guzo</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mark K. Johnson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview:	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate in directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate in did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
David Lun		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)



Application No. 10/619,778

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicants' representative concerning the requirement for a substitute specification made in the Notice to File Missing Parts letter of 10/17/03. Applicants' representative indicated that the specification was filed with 11/2 spacing and was acceptable. This appears to be the case as OIPE has released the case for examination.